

Indiana University – Purdue University Fort Wayne
Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW

Computer and Electrical Engineering Technology &
Information Systems and Technology Senior Design
Projects

School of Engineering, Technology and Computer
Science Design Projects

4-20-1998

A Sensory-Feedback Device for Individuals with Prosthetic Legs

Thomas McGinnis

Indiana University - Purdue University Fort Wayne

Follow this and additional works at: http://opus.ipfw.edu/etcs_seniorproj



Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#), and the [Engineering Commons](#)

Opus Citation

Thomas McGinnis (1998). A Sensory-Feedback Device for Individuals with Prosthetic Legs.
http://opus.ipfw.edu/etcs_seniorproj/750

This Senior Design Project is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Engineering, Technology and Computer Science Design Projects at Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW. It has been accepted for inclusion in Computer and Electrical Engineering Technology & Information Systems and Technology Senior Design Projects by an authorized administrator of Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW. For more information, please contact admin@lib.ipfw.edu.

**Senior design project report:
To research, develop, test,
and document
a sensory-feedback device for
individuals with prosthetic legs. 4/98.**

Prepared for: Prof. Ronald C. Emery

CC : Dr. Harold Broberg

By : Thomas McGinnis

Date : April 20, 1998

Individuals who have lost a leg due to amputation also lose the signal created by the pressure on the bottom of the foot. This lost signal results in a hesitation when walking, especially during the period when the individual is initially becoming acclimated to the prosthesis. This project researched, developed, tested, and documented a sensory feedback device to restore that signal through an alternative path. The feedback device generates a signal proportional to the pressure created by the contact of the prosthesis with the floor. The design incorporates a four-channel electronic device capable of capturing signals from the heel and toe of both feet. The device processes, stores, and outputs these signals to the individual. During the fitting and adjusting of the prosthesis to the individual the stored data can also provide valuable gait-analysis information to the prosthetic technician. Since the objective of this device design was to provide more mobility to the user, the critical design criteria included: physical size, response time, ease of use, adequate memory storage, efficient power consumption, and adaptability to a wide-range of users.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Table of Figures.....	iv
Transmittal	v
1.0 Sensory Device Overview	1
1.1 Problem Identification.....	2
1.2 How I Will Contribute.....	3
2.0 Prosthetic Leg Research	4
2.1 Researching User Needs.....	4
2.2 Investigating the Pressure Generated Underfoot.....	5
2.2.1 Information on sports shoes	5
2.2.2 Information on prosthetic gait.....	6
2.3 Website Research of Prosthetic Clinics.....	7
2.3.1 Active prosthetic development.....	7
2.3.2 Related active-prosthetic information	8
3.0 Component Research.....	9
3.1 Input-section Components.....	9
3.1.1 Input-transducer selection	10
3.1.2 Input-amplifier selection	10
3.1.3 Input-support section.....	11
3.2 Output-section Components.....	11
3.2.1 Output-transducer selection.....	12
3.2.2 Output amplifier/driver selection	13
3.2.3 Additional output-section components.....	13
3.3 Processing Components	14
3.3.1 Analog-to-digital converter selection	14
3.3.2 Microcontroller selection	15
3.3.3 Memory-device selection	16
3.3.4 Serial-communication device selection.....	16
3.4 Supporting Components.....	17
3.4.1 Power-supply parts selection.....	17
3.4.2 Circuit-board selection.....	17
3.4.3 Connectors and cabling selection.....	18
3.4.4 Switches, potentiometers, and test-pin selection	18
3.4.5 Case and mounting-hardware selection.....	18
4.0 Design Development.....	19
4.1 Power-consumption.....	19
4.2 Responsiveness.....	20
4.2.1 Microcontroller frequency	20
4.2.2 ADC conversion-time	21
4.2.3 Output transducer.....	23
4.3 Adequate data storage	23
4.4 Adaptability	24
4.5 Ease of Use.....	24
4.5.1 On-off switch	25

4.5.2	Reset switch.....	25
4.5.3	Setup switch.....	25
4.5.4	Recording switch	26
4.6	Software Development.....	26
5.0	Testing	27
5.1	Testing of Input Devices	27
5.2	Testing of Output Devices.....	28
5.3	Testing of Processing Devices	28
5.3.1	ADC components.....	29
5.3.2	Proper μ controller and serial-communication operation	29
5.3.3	Expected battery-life.....	30
5.3.4	Auto-execute program storage	30
5.3.5	Conversion-time testing	31
5.3.6	Run-time test.....	32
5.4	Testing of the Final Project	32
5.4.1	Active prosthetic test volunteers	32
5.4.2	Bench-testing the complete assembly	33
6.0	Cost Analysis.....	33
6.1	Proposed Costs	34
6.2	Actual Costs	34
6.3	Unexpected Costs.....	35
6.4	User-Model Cost	35
7.0	Project Recommendations.....	36
7.1	Physical Size.....	36
7.2	Power-consumption.....	37
7.3	Responsiveness.....	37
	Software credits.....	40
	Appendix A: Senior Design Proposal.....	41
	Appendix B: Senior Design Progress Report.....	42
	Appendix C: Technical Specifications/Qualifications	43
	Appendix D: Input Transducers/Amplifiers.....	44
	Appendix E: Output Transducers/Drivers	45
	Appendix F: Processing Components	46
	Appendix G: Electrical Schematics.....	47
	Appendix H: Active Prosthetics.....	48
	Appendix I: Weekly Log Book	49
	Appendix J: Expenditures	50

Table of Figures

Figure 1. Vertical Force vs. Time Walking in Gym Shoes.....6

Figure 2. The Conceptual Model.....8

Figure 3. Calculating ADC parameters. Modified fig. 1 Source: Bates, Osternig, Sawhill,
and James.22